

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/091,983	ZHONG ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Fernando L. Toledo	2823	

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 3:30pm

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
N/A

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant submitted an After-final reply on 1 December 2004 for the final office action mailed on 28 September 2004. Examiner responded to the after-final arguments on an Advisory Action dated on 23 December 2004. Applicant submitted an RCE without a preliminary amendment nor other arguments aside from the already submitted after final reply..